

Brane mapping under $(-1)^{F_L}$

Keshav Dasgupta¹, Jaemo Park²

School of Natural Sciences, Institute for Advanced Study

Princeton, NJ 08540 , USA

Abstract

We present the evidence that $(-1)^{F_L}$ is a nonperturbative symmetry of Type II string theory. We argue that $(-1)^{F_L}$ is a symmetry of string theory as much as the $SL(2, Z)$ of the Type II string is and how the branes are mapped under the $(-1)^{F_L}$ modding. NS branes are mapped into the NS branes with the same world volume dimensions but with the different chiral structure. Supersymmetric Dp-branes (bound with anti-Dp branes) are mapped to unstable nonsupersymmetric Dp-branes, which has D(p-1)-branes as kink solutions according to Horava[1].

¹E-mail: keshav@sns.ias.edu

²E-mail: jaemo@sns.ias.edu

In duality of string theory, it is known that S-duality and orbifolding do not always commute[2, 3]. One example is the relation between the worldsheet orientation reversal Ω and $(-1)^{F_L}$ which flips the sign of the left-moving Ramond sector. In many of the situations Ω and $(-1)^{F_L}$ are S-dual operations[3]. Since Type IIB string theory is self-dual, we expect that by modding out by Ω and $(-1)^{F_L}$ respectively, we obtain the new dual pair. However, this does not hold. Type IIB modded by Ω gives rise to Type I string theory while type IIB modded by $(-1)^{F_L}$ is the Type IIA string theory. There are two important differences in the above example. Type IIB string modded by $(-1)^{F_L}$ is a typical example of the orbifold of the closed string theory while Type I string theory is not an orbifold of the closed string theory. Instead of the twisted sector, in Type I string theory D-branes are introduced for the cancellation of the tadpole. Thus the non-perturbative states are introduced for the consistency of the theory but the rule of introducing the suitable D-branes are quite different from that obtaining the twisted sectors of the orbifold[6]. A related fact is that we obtain the other half of the gravitini with different chirality in the twisted sector in $(-1)^{F_L}$ orbifold, while the half of the gravitini are projected out by the presence of the D-branes in Type I theory.

The recent paper by Hull and the subsequent papers[4, 5] shed some light on this puzzle. He gives some evidence for the existence of NS-9 branes and argues that we can obtain SO(32) heterotic string if we consider the Type IIB modded by $(-1)^{F_L}$ *in the background of 32 NS-9 branes*. Since this is the string theory in the background of non-perturbative states and we do not have the sufficient understanding of the conformal field theory of NS branes, we cannot immediately prove or rule out this claim. Also the existence of NS-9 branes are still problematic, since there are no charges of the perturbative Type II string to couple to NS-9 branes. But some of the arguments are plausible, at least if we assume the existence of NS-9 branes. In analogy with the Type I theory as a string theory with the nonperturbative background, we can imagine that we should not introduce the twisted sector as in the orbifold case. Also the presence of the NS-9 brane breaks the half of the supersymmetry if the NS-9 branes is a supersymmetric object forming a $SL(2, Z)$ doublet with D-9 brane. In his paper[4], Hull raises one interesting issue. He claims that $(-1)^{F_L}$ is a full non-perturbative symmetry of the Type IIB in the presence of NS-9 branes and he shows how $(-1)^{F_L}$ acts on non-perturbative states. This begs the question: Can we define $(-1)^{F_L}$ as a non-perturbative symmetry of Type II string theory *without* the presence of NS-9

branes? The purpose of this paper is to show some affirmative evidences for this question. In particular we argue that $(-1)^{F_L}$ is a nonperturbative symmetry as much as $SL(2, Z)$ is one of Type IIB theory. We shall see how $(-1)^{F_L}$ acts on NS branes and D-branes of Type II theory. Thus we give some evidence that Type IIB modded out by $(-1)^{F_L}$ is a Type IIA string theory in a full nonperturbative sense.

In M-theory, if $(-1)^{F_L}$ corresponds to a full non-perturbative symmetry, then $(-1)^{F_L}$ corresponds to the operation $X^{11} \rightarrow -X^{11}$ and $A \rightarrow -A$ where X^{11} is the eleventh-circle whose size is related to the coupling constant of Type IIA string theory and A is the 3-form of the 11-d supergravity. The combined operation of $(-1)^{F_L}$ and the orientation reversal $R_9\Omega$ which acts as $X^9 \rightarrow -X^9$ and $A \rightarrow -A$ is mapped to a generator of $SL(2, Z)$ of Type IIB theory under the correspondence of M-theory on T^2 and Type IIB on a circle. Thus the claim that $(-1)^{F_L}$ is a full nonperturbative symmetry is equivalent to the self-duality of Type IIB-theory together with the consistency of the perturbative string theory. If $(-1)^{F_L}$ is not a full nonperturbative symmetry, then all facts of string dualities are in danger, which is clearly implausible.

Since we know how $(-1)^{F_L}$ acts on perturbative states, it is interesting to see how $(-1)^{F_L}$ acts on nonperturbative states of Type II theory. Let us first start with NS-5 branes. For this purpose we can recall the fact that type IIA(IIB) theory on A_{k-1} singularities can be mapped to type IIB(IIA) k coincident NS-5 branes via T-duality[7]. If we consider k Kaluza-Klein monopole configurations in Type IIA(IIB) side, the transverse geometry is described by $(R^3 \times S^1)/Z_k$ in the coincidence limit. The configuration has $U(1)$ isometry and we can T-dualize along the $U(1)$ -direction, which gives us NS-5 branes in Type IIB(IIA) side. By the explicit calculation at the orbifold point T^4/Z_2 of K3, we can see that Type IIA theory on K3 is mapped to Type IIB on K3 under $(-1)^{F_L}$. Since A_{k-1} singular point can be obtained by a suitable noncompact limit of K3, we can conclude that Type IIA(IIB) NS-5 branes are mapped to Type IIB(IIA) NS-5 branes. From this consideration, we see that the chirality of NS-5 branes are related to that of Type II string theory. Probably the conformal field theory describing the NS-5 brane has the different GSO projection in NSR formalism, which leads to different chiral structure. This is true of the conformal field theory describing the near horizon geometry of NS-5 brane in Type II theory[8, 9]. The worldsheet fermions of the conformal field theory are free and different GSO projections for left-moving and right-moving fermions lead to the different chiral structure of Type IIA and Type IIB NS-5 branes

in the near horizon limit. Same thing happens for the NS-1 brane, i.e., the fundamental string and we expect the similar for NS-9 branes.

Now we turn into D-branes of Type II string theory. If we consider a D-p brane whose worldvolume spans $x^0, x^1, \dots, x^p$, the suitable boundary condition at the worldsheet for the bosonic coordinates are given by

$$\partial_n X^\mu = 0 \quad \mu = 0, \dots, p \quad (1)$$

$$X^\mu = \text{constant} \quad \mu = p+1, \dots, 9 \quad (2)$$

where ∂_n is the derivative normal to the boundary of the worldsheet. This should be supplemented by a suitable boundary condition for the fermionic coordinates. Since the boundary condition (1) does not change under $(-1)^{F_L}$, it seems that a Dp-brane of Type IIA(IIB) is mapped to a Dp-brane of Type IIB(IIA). An immediate problem is that Type IIB string theory has supersymmetric D-branes with even dimension of worldvolume while Type IIA string theory has supersymmetric ones with odd dimension of worldvolume. Thus it's not clear how to obtain supersymmetric D-branes of Type IIA(IIB) starting from Type IIB(IIA) supersymmetric D-branes. One clue comes from a recent paper by Horava[1]. He showed that in Type IIA side, all stable D-brane configuration can be constructed as a bound state of unstable Type IIA D9-branes. However at this point we note that its not natural to study the action of $(-1)^{F_L}$ on a *single* D9 brane as under this operation it will naturally transform to anti-D9 brane. Therefore we will study the action of $(-1)^{F_L}$ on the GSO invariant boundary state and from there create brane configurations which are invariant under this operation. Thus we will show that a Type IIB D9 brane- anti D9 brane is mapped to the Type IIA D9 brane. From here we can recover all stable D-brane configurations in the type IIA theory. To start, we represent a D9-brane as a boundary state using open-closed string duality[10]. Since the boundary state is made up of the closed string perturbative states, we can easily figure out how $(-1)^{F_L}$ acts on the boundary state.

The boundary state corresponding to D9-brane in the light cone gauge following the convention of [11] is given by,

$$|B, \eta \rangle_{RR}^{NSNS} = \exp\left(\sum_{\mu=2}^9 \left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \alpha_{-n}^\mu \tilde{\alpha}_{-n}^\mu - \sum_{r>0} i\eta \psi_{-r}^\mu \tilde{\psi}_{-r}^\mu\right)\right) |B, \eta \rangle_{RR}^{(0)NSNS} \quad (3)$$

where $\eta = \pm$, n is an integer and r is a half-integer for NSNS sector and an integer for RR sector. The oscillator without tilde represents the right mover and the one with tilde

represents the left mover. $|B, \eta >^{(0)}$ denotes the Fock vacuum with zero momentum state. This specifies the unique state for NSNS sector. RR sector is more subtle due to the fermionic zero modes, which we will discuss shortly. The boundary state is invariant under the type IIB GSO projection

$$\frac{1}{4}(1 + (-1)^F)(1 + (-1)^{\tilde{F}}). \quad (4)$$

where $(-1)^F$ and $(-1)^{\tilde{F}}$ flips the sign of ψ_{-r}^μ and $\tilde{\psi}_{-r}^\mu$. We take $|\eta >^{(0)NSNS} \equiv |0 >^{NSNS}$ to be odd under $(-1)^F$ and $(-1)^{\tilde{F}}$. Thus the action of $(-1)^F$ and $(-1)^{\tilde{F}}$ is given by

$$(-1)^F |B, \eta >^{NSNS} = (-1)^{\tilde{F}} |B, \eta >^{NSNS} = -|B, -\eta >^{NSNS}. \quad (5)$$

The GSO invariant configuration is

$$|B, + >^{NSNS} - |B, - >^{NSNS}. \quad (6)$$

The GSO operation $(-1)^F$ and $(-1)^{\tilde{F}}$ act on the left and right Ramond ground state as

$$(-1)^F \equiv \prod \sqrt{2} \psi_0^\mu, \quad (-1)^{\tilde{F}} \equiv \prod \sqrt{2} \tilde{\psi}_0^\mu. \quad (7)$$

respectively where ψ_0^μ and $\tilde{\psi}_0^\mu$ are fermionic zero modes. We define the left and right Ramond ground state is even under $(-1)^F$ and $(-1)^{\tilde{F}}$ respectively. Finally we define $|B, \eta >$ to be a RR ground state satisfying

$$(\psi_0^\mu + i\eta \tilde{\psi}_0^\mu) |B, \eta >_{RR}^{(0)} = 0. \quad (8)$$

We choose the relative normalization between $|B, + >$ and $|B, - >$ by setting

$$|B, + >_{RR}^{(0)} = \prod \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_0^\mu + i\tilde{\psi}_0^\mu) |B, - >_{RR}^{(0)}. \quad (9)$$

From this and the anticommutation relation $\{\psi_0^\mu, \psi_0^\nu\} = \delta^{\mu\nu}$ and the same for $\tilde{\psi}_0^\mu$ and $\tilde{\psi}_0^\nu$, we can show that

$$|B, + >_{RR}^{(0)} = (-1)^F |B, - >_{RR}^{(0)} = (-1)^{\tilde{F}} |B, - >_{RR}^{(0)} \quad (10)$$

and

$$(-1)^F |B, \eta >_{RR} = (-1)^{\tilde{F}} |B, \eta >_{RR} = |B, -\eta >_{RR}. \quad (11)$$

Thus the GSO invariant state is given by

$$|B+ >^{RR} + |B- >^{RR}. \quad (12)$$

Note that the sign of $(-1)^F$ in (5) and (10) is the same as $(-1)^{\tilde{F}}$ for the boundary state and the resulting boundary state survives Type IIB GSO projection.

Now if we take the $(-1)^{F_L}$, NSNS boundary state is invariant while RR part is projected out since the sign of the D-brane charges are flipped under $(-1)^{F_L}$ and the sign of the RR charge is related to the relative sign between NSNS boundary state and RR boundary state[12]. Thus in the untwisted sector only NSNS part survives. The twisted boundary condition is the same for NSNS sector, but the GSO projection for the RR sector is changed into

$$\frac{1}{4}(1 + (-1)^F)(1 - (-1)^{\tilde{F}}). \quad (13)$$

By construction, the sign of $(-1)^F$ is the same as that of $(-1)^{\tilde{F}}$ for $|B+ \rangle_{RR}$ and $|B- \rangle_{RR}$. Thus no linear combination of these survive the GSO projection in the twisted sector. Thus we just have NSNS boundary state under $(-1)^{F_L}$, which is exactly the Type IIA D9-brane state of Horava. Now in the original IIB theory this NSNS boundary state is nothing but a bound state of D9, anti D9 system. Therefore we see that a D9, anti D9 system of type IIB theory goes to an unstable D9 brane of type IIA³. By similar calculation using the boundary state corresponding to Dp brane, one can show that Type IIB Dp, anti Dp brane system for odd p is mapped to Type IIA Dp brane under $(-1)^{F_L}$. If Type IIA Dp brane is unstable, Type IIA supersymmetric D-(p-1) brane emerges as a kink solution of Dp brane[1].

Acknowledgment We thank E. Witten for useful discussions. The work of K.D and J.P is supported in part by the U.S. Dept. of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG02-90-ER40542.

³We would like to thank Ashoke Sen for pointing this out to us. See also Ref[13] where related results are derived using different methods.

References

- [1] P. Horava, “Type IIA D-branes, K Theory, And Matrix Theory,” hep-th/9812135.
- [2] A. Sen, “Duality And Orbifolds,” hep-th/9604070, Nucl. Phys. B474 (1996) 361.
- [3] C. Vafa and E. Witten, “Dual String Pairs With N=1 And N=2 Supersymmetry in Four-Dimensions,” hep-th/9507050, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 46 (1996) 225.
- [4] C. Hull, “The Nonperturbative SO(32) Heterotic String,” hep-th/9812210.
- [5] E. Berghshoeff, E. Eyras, R. Halbersma, J.P. van der Schaar, C. Hull and Y. Lozano, “Space-time Filling Branes And Strings With Sixteen Supercharges,” hep-th/9812224.
- [6] E. Gimon and J. Polchinski, “Consistency Conditions For Orientifolds and D-manifolds,” hep-th/9601038, Phys. rev. D54 (1996) 1667.
- [7] E. Witten, “New Gauge Theories In Six-dimensions,” hep-th/9710065, JHEP 9801:001, 1998, Adv. theor. Phys.2 (1998) 61.
- [8] C. Callan, J. Harvey and A. Strominger, “World Sheet Approach To Heterotic Instantons And Solitons,” Nucl. Phys. B359 (1991) 611; S.-J. Rey in “The Proc. of the Tuscaloosa Workshop 1989”, 291, Phys. Rev. D43 (1991) 526.
- [9] O. Aharony, M. Berkooz and N. Seiberg, “Linear Dilatons, NS Five-branes And Holography,” hep-th/9801049, JHEP 9810:004, 1998.
- [10] J. Polchinski and Y. Cai, “Consistency Of Open Superstring Theories,” Nucl. Phys. B296 (1988) 91.
- [11] O. Bergman and M. Gaberdiel, “Stable NonBPS D Particles,” hep-th/9806155, Phys. Lett. B441 (1998) 133.
- [12] A. Sen, “Stable Non-BPS Bound States of BPS D-branes,” hep-th/9805019, JHEP 9808:010, 1998.
- [13] A. Sen, “BPS D-branes on Non-Supersymmetric Cycles,” hep-th/9812031, JHEP 9812:021, 1998.